

Test Report

Number: SZHH0195269501S1

Applicant: KIDKRAFT INC
4630 OLIN RD DALLAS, TX 75244

Date: Aug 13, 2024

*This is to supersede Report No.
SZHH0195269501 dated Aug 01,
2024*

Sample Description:

Five (5) styles of submitted sample said to be **Panels and hardware used for below item:**

Item Name : **Round Storage Table and 2 Chairs Set- Natural & Pink**
Reference No./Item No. : **27027D**
P. O. No. : PO110.148830
Applicant Specified Age : From 3 to 6 years
Grading for Testing :
Additional Material and Wet : No
Paint Provided :
Manufacturer : JinRan
Country of Origin : China
Country of Destination : US
Date Sample Received : Jul 11, 2024
Testing Period : Jul 11, 2024 ~ Jul 31, 2024



Tests conducted:

As requested by the applicant, refer to attached page(s) for details.



Page 1 of 5

Test Report

Number: SZHH0195269501S1

Conclusion:

<u>Tested Sample</u>	<u>Standard/Test Item</u>	<u>Result</u>
Tested components of submitted samples	U.S. CFR Title 16 Part 1303 total Lead content	Pass
	U.S. Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act 2008 Title I, Section 101 for total Lead content in surface coating	Pass
	U.S. Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act 2008 Title I, Section 101 for Total Lead content in Non-surface coating materials (substrate)	Pass
	Applicant's requirement on total Lead content – with reference to Illinois Lead Poisoning Prevention Act 410 ILCS 45 on total Lead content requirement	Pass
	Consent Judgment No. RG-595381 for Children furniture on phthalate content based on the California Proposition 65	Pass

Authorized by:
For Intertek Testing Services
Shenzhen Ltd.



Rachel L. Guo
General Manager



Test Report

Number: SZHH0195269501S1

Tests Conducted

1 Total Lead (Pb) Content in Surface Coating (U.S. 16 CFR Part 1303 and CPSIA Section 101)

As per Standard Operating Procedure for Determining Lead (Pb) in paint and other similar surface coatings, test method CPSC-CH-E1003-09.1 was used and total Lead content was determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry.

Element	Result (ppm) θ	Reporting Limit (ppm)	Limit (ppm)
	Tested Component		
	(1)to(5)		
Lead (Pb)	ND	10	90

The above limit was quoted according to U.S. CFR Title 16 Part 1303 and U.S. Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act 2008 Title I, Section 101 for total Lead content in surface coating.

ppm = parts per million = mg/kg

ND = Not detected (less than reporting limit)

 θ = Single result for each test component/group

Tested component(s):

- (1) Coatings (brown, beige) on fiberboard (sample #3).
- (2) Coatings (brown, beige) on plastic (sample #8).
- (3) Coffee coating on fiberboard (sample #11).
- (4) Khaki coating on metal (sample #16).
- (5) White coating on wood (sample #18)

2 Total Lead (Pb) Content in Non-Surface Coating Materials (Substrate) (U.S. CPSIA Section 101)

As per Standard Operating Procedures for Determining total Lead (Pb) in children's products, test methods CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3 and/or CPSC-CH-E1001-08.3 were used and total Lead content was determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma - Optical Emission Spectrometry and/or Atomic Absorption Spectrometry.

Element	Result (ppm)	Reporting Limit (ppm)	Limit (ppm)
	Tested Component		
	(1)		
Lead (Pb)	ND	10	100

The above limit was quoted according to U.S. Consumer Product Safety Improvement Act 2008 Title I, Section 101 for total Lead content in Non-surface coating materials.

ppm = parts per million = mg/kg

ND = Not detected (less than reporting limit)

Tested components:

- (1) Light brown plastic excluding coatings (sample #8).



Test Report

Number: SZHH0195269501S1

Tests Conducted

3 Total Lead (Pb) Content (Illinois)

With reference to CPSC-CH-E1002-08.3 and/or CPSC-CH-E1001.08.3 and/or CPSC-CH-E1003-09.1 and followed by Inductively Coupled Argon Plasma Spectrometry.

Element	Result (ppm) θ	Detection Limit (ppm)	Limit (ppm)
	Tested Component		
	(1)to(6)		
Lead (Pb)	ND	10	40

ppm = parts per million = mg/kg

ND = Not detected (less than detection limit)

θ = Single result for each test component/group

Tested Component(s):

- (1) Coatings (brown, beige) on fiberboard (sample #3).
- (2) Coatings (brown, beige) on plastic (sample #8).
- (3) Light brown plastic excluding coatings (sample #8).
- (4) Coffee coating on fiberboard (sample #11).
- (5) Khaki coating on metal (sample #16).
- (6) White coating on wood (sample #18)

4 Phthalate Content (California Proposition 65 – Children furniture)

With reference to CPSC-CH-C1001-09.4 and followed by Gas Chromatographic-Mass Spectrometric (GC-MS) analysis.

Test item	Result (%) θ	Reporting limit (%)	Limit (%)
	Tested component		
	(1)to(6)		
Diethyl hexyl phthalate (DEHP)	ND	0.01	0.1

The above limit was quoted from the Consent Judgment No. RG-595381 settled by superior court of the State of California for the county of Alameda, for Children furniture based on the California Proposition 65.

ND = Not detected (less than reporting limit)

θ = Single result for each test component/group

Tested Component(s):

- (1) Coatings (brown, beige) on fiberboard (sample #3).
- (2) Coatings (brown, beige) on plastic (sample #8).
- (3) Light brown plastic excluding coatings (sample #8).
- (4) Coffee coating on fiberboard (sample #11).
- (5) Khaki coating on metal (sample #16).
- (6) White coating on wood (sample #18).

End of report



Page 4 of 5



Test Report

Number: SZHH0195269501S1

Tests Conducted

The statements of conformity reported have considered the decision rule agreed, namely that Intertek have taken account of measurement uncertainty as calculated by Intertek, and applied according to ILAC-G8/09:2019-(Non-binary acceptance based on guard band $w = U$) except designation from the customer, regulation or test specification. This decision rule only applies to the numeric test results. Full details of our agreed decision rules and the associated risk can be viewed: <https://www.intertek.com.cn/diypage/upload/SZ-AP15-HLS-QA.pdf>.

The sample(s) and sample information hereto are provided by the client who shall be solely responsible for the authenticity and integrity thereof. The results shown in this report relate only to the sample(s) received and tested. It is not intended to be a recommendation for any particular course of action. Intertek does not accept a duty of care or any other responsibility to any person other than the Client in respect of this report and only accepts liability to the Client insofar as is expressly contained in the terms and conditions governing Intertek's provision of services to you. Intertek makes no warranties or representations either express or implied with respect to this report save as provided for in those terms and conditions. We have aimed to conduct the review on a diligent and careful basis and we do not accept any liability to you for any loss arising out of or in connection with this report, in contract, tort, by statute or otherwise, except in the event of our gross negligence or wilful misconduct. This report shall not be reproduced unless with prior written approval from Intertek.



Page 5 of 5



To: KIDKRAFT INC

Date: Aug 13, 2024

Re : Report Revision Notification

Intertek Testing Services Report Number SZHH0195269501 Dated Aug 01, 2024

Please be informed that all the content recorded in the above captioned report will be void. This captioned report is now superseded by a revised Intertek Testing Services Report Number, SZHH0195269501S1 Dated Aug 13, 2024

Below are revision details:

Report Number	SZHH0195269501	SZHH0195269501S1
Revise remark	Nil	Delete CPSIA phthalate

Thank you for your attention.

Authorized by:
For Intertek Testing Services
Shenzhen Ltd.



Rachel L. Guo
General Manager

